

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/714,724	RADHAKRISHNAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond Covington	1625	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Raymond Covington.

(3) _____.

 (2) Connie Tong (app rep).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-16

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to amend claim 1 to reposition the HCL solvent to read "dilute HCL or an organic solvent. claims 1-16 are allowed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)